

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/997,588	11/29/2001	Chen Xing Su	10209.353	6233
21999	7590 05/26/200		EXAMINER	
KIRTON AND MCCONKIE			LEITH, PATRICIA A	
1800 EAGLE GATE TOWER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 45120			1655	
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84145-0120			DATE MAILED: 05/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/997,588	SU ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Patricia Leith	1655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
, —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 5-28</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-23,27 and 28</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 5-12 and 24-26</u> is/are rejected.						
	<u> </u>						
8) 🔲	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers	•					
	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			,				
Attachment(s)							
_	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)				
	e of References Cited (F10-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [	Date				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/997,588

**Art Unit: 1655** 

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1 and 5-28 are pending in the application.

Claims 13-23 and 27-28 were withdrawn on the merits as they are directed toward a non-elected invention.

Claims 1, 5-12 and 24-26 were examined on their merits.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a previous Office Action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chye (8/10/1999) in view of Schechter (1998) and further in view of Gagnon (1997) for the reasons of record.

Art Unit: 1655

Claims 1, 4-12 and 24-26 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chye (8/10/1999) in view of Schechter (1998) in view of Gagnon (1997) in view of Brock et al. (1991) and further in view of Nahir (EP 0 555 573 A1) for the reasons of record.

Applicant's arguments were taken fully into account, but were not found convincing. Applicant argues that "Chye, Schechter and Gagnon fail to teach or suggest consuming Morinda citrifolia fruit juice to inhibit, prevent or reverse lipid peroxidation. Applicant has amended the claims to include consuming a product which contains blueberry juice concentrate, apple juice concentrate and natural flavoring, and has amended the method to include scavenging lipid hydroperoxides" (p. 9, Arguments).

First, the Examiner believes that Applicant means grape juice and not 'apple juice' since there is no mention of apple juice in the claims. Chye clearly teach that people drank MC juice mixed with grape and blueberry juice. It is deemed, especially in view of Schechter, that when people ingested this juice, scavenging lipid hydroperoxides would have been an inherent consequence, since the product is the same and the patient (consumer) population is the same. Again, it is deemed that the processing steps for obtaining MC juice does not materially change the juice. It is also deemed that people naturally possess lipid hydroperoxides, and thus the act of drinking

Art Unit: 1655

the juice disclosed by Chye of the prior art, would have inherently manifested the results of inhibiting/preventing/reversing lipid peroxidation.

Page 4

Applicant argues that "the composition as recited in the claims of the present invention has a scavenging affect which exceeds the regular intake of vitamin C and other known antioxidants" (p. 9, Arguments). However, the scavenging effects of Morinda citrifolia juice, grape juice and blueberry juice will be the same as the scavenging effects of the product disclosed by Chye because it is the same product:

"Products of identical chemical composition can not have mutually exclusive properties." A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present. In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). The burden shifts to the Applicant to show that the product of the prior art as disclosed by Chye would not have the effect of the product as recited by the Instant method claims.

From the teachings of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention. Therefore, the invention as a whole was *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

No Claims are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia Leith whose telephone number is (571) 272-0968. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on (571) 272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/997,588 Page 6

**Art Unit: 1655** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia Leith Primary Examiner Art Unit 1655

May 19, 2006